



CITY OF RICHARDSON, TEXAS

Independent Auditors' Reports on Federal Awards in
Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

September 30, 2014

CITY OF RICHARDSON, TEXAS

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for the Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133, <i>Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations</i>	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8



KPMG LLP
Suite 3100
717 North Harwood Street
Dallas, TX 75201-6585

**Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

The Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Richardson, Texas:

We have audited in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Richardson, Texas (the City) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated January 23, 2015.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

KPMG LLP

Dallas, Texas
January 23, 2015



KPMG LLP
Suite 3100
717 North Harwood Street
Dallas, TX 75201-6585

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for the Major Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*

The Honorable Mayor and City Council
City of Richardson, Texas:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2014. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the City's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on the Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2014.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for the major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended September 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated January 23, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements.



The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

KPMG LLP

Dallas, Texas
January 23, 2015

CITY OF RICHARDSON, TEXAS
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Year ended September 30, 2014

Federal grantor/pass-through grantor/program title	Federal CFDA number	Grant number	Federal expenditures
U.S. Department of Transportation through:			
Texas Department of Highways and Public Transportation:			
Highway Planning & Construction (SRTS)	20.205	CSJ:0918-47-013	\$ 431,129
Highway Planning & Construction (SRTS)	20.205	CSJ:0918-47-012	<u>289,877</u>
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			<u>721,006</u>
U.S. Department of Homeland Security passed through Texas			
Department of Public Safety			
2011 Urban Area Sec. Initiative (UASI)	97.067	EMW-2011-SS-00019	37,103
2012 UASI/LETPA	97.008	EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	8,211
2012 UASI	97.008	EMW-2012-SS-00018-S01	2,869
2013 UASI Grant for Planner	97.067	EMW-2013-SS-00045	<u>90,747</u>
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			<u>138,930</u>
Office of National Drug Control Policy Passed through Navarro County Sheriff Office:			
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area	95.001	G13NT0001A	<u>16,294</u>
Total U.S. Office of National Drug Control Policy			<u>16,294</u>
U.S. Department of Justice:			
Joint Terrorism Task Force	16.XXX	N/A	14,421
North Texas Electronic Crimes Task Force Passed through U.S.			
Secret Service	16.XXX	Treasury Forfeiture Fund	4,092
2013 Edward Byrne Memorial JAG Passed through The City of Dallas	16.738	2013-DJ-BX-0502	9,842
2013 Bullet Proof Vest Grant	16.607	N/A	8,463
2012 Bullet Proof Vest Grant	16.607	N/A	<u>18,435</u>
Total U.S. Department of Justice			<u>55,253</u>
Total Federal Financial Awards			<u>\$ 931,483</u>

See accompanying notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

CITY OF RICHARDSON, TEXAS

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

September 30, 2014

(1) General

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal grant activity of the City of Richardson, Texas (the City). The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of the financial statements.

The City's reporting entity is defined in note 1 to the City's financial statements. Federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included on the Schedule.

(2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented using the modified-accrual basis of accounting, which is described in note 1 to the City's financial statements.

(3) Relationship to Federal Financial Reports

Grant expenditure reports for the year ended September 30, 2014, which have been submitted to grantor agencies, will, in some cases, differ from amounts disclosed herein. The reports prepared for grantor agencies are typically prepared at a later date and often reflect refined estimates of the year-end accruals. The reports will agree at termination of the grant as the discrepancies noted are timing differences.

(4) Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule, the City did not provide federal awards to subrecipients during 2014.

CITY OF RICHARDSON, TEXAS
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended September 30, 2014

Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Reports

Financial Statements

Type of auditors’ report issued: **Unmodified**

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes ✓ No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes ✓ None reported
- Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted? Yes ✓ No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major program:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes ✓ No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes ✓ None reported

Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major program: **Unmodified**

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? Yes ✓ No

Identification of major program:

CFDA number(s)	Name of federal program
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction Cluster

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? ✓ Yes No

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

No matters were reported.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No matters were reported.